

24



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,394	02/27/2004	Yukio Koyanagi	22040-00030-US	2393
30678	7590	07/25/2005	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			LAO, LUN S	
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	
1990 M STREET NW			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			2644	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/708,394	Applicant(s) KOYANAGI, YUKIO	
	Examiner Lun-See Lao	Art Unit 2644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This action is responds to the amendment filed on 06-02-2005. Claims 1, 5-7, 12, and 14 have been amended and claim 15 has been canceled. Claims 1-14 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claim 1, 4-7 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (US PAT. 4,817,149) in view of Wilkinson (JP 06-326555).

Consider 1 and 7 Myers teaches a filter device, comprising:

a first FIR filter (see fig.7, f1) for multiplying a signal of each tap of a tapped delay line (see fig. 20) by several times according to given first filter factors and then performing addition and output, the delay line being made up of a plurality of delay units; and

a second FIR filter (FIG.7, F2) for multiplying a signal of each tap (FIG.20) of a tapped delay line by several times according to given second filter factors and then performing addition and output, the delay line being made up of a plurality of delay units; wherein the first filter factors have a symmetrical sequence in which values are set so that a sum is not zero (see col.8 lines 24-58 and col.13 line 35-col. 14 line 68), a sum of every other term is equal to a sum of the other every other term with the same signs (see figs. 1, 7, 20 and col. 13 line 35-col. 14 line 68); and the second filter factors have a symmetrical sequence in which values are set so that a sum is zero (see fig.7 f2,

Art Unit: 2644

when the switch 110 connects to f1); but Myers does not clearly teach a sum of every other term is equal to a sum of the other every other term with opposite signs.

However, Wilkinson teaches a sum of every other term is equal to a sum of the other every other terms with the same signs (the first filter factor is composed of the ratios of -1, 0, 9, 16, 9, 0, -1); and the second filter factors have a symmetrical sequence in which values are set so that a sum is zero and a sum of every other term is equal to a sum of the other every other terms with opposite signs (the second filter factor is composed of the ratios of 1, 0, -9, 16, -9, 0, 1 and see figs 5, 7 and col.5 lines 1-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wilkinson into Myers to provide a half-band filter in respective low and high-band passing parts, placing the filters in an opposite stage and supplying the required symmetry.

Consider claims 12 and 14 Myers teaches a sound quality adjusting method, comprising:

a first filtering step, implemented by a first FIR filter (see fig.7, F1 and col. 8 lines 24-58), of multiplying a signal of each tap (see fig. 20), which delays an input sound signal, by several times by using first filter factors and then performing addition and output, the first filter factors having a symmetrical sequence in which values are set so that a sum is not zero and a sum of every other terms is equal to a sum of the other every other term with the same signs; (see col.8 line 24-58 and col. 13 line 35-col. 14 line 68), and

a second filtering step, implemented by a second FIR filter (see fig.7, F2 and col. 8 lines 24-58), of multiplying a signal of each tap of a tapped delay line (see fig. 20),

Art Unit: 2644

which delays an input sound signal, by several times by using second filter factors and then performing addition and output, the second filter factors having a symmetrical sequence are set so that a sum is zero (see fig.7 f2, when the switch 110 connects to f1)(see figs. 1,7,20 and col.8 line 24-58 and col. 13 line 35-col. 14 line 68);and

a gain controlling step (see fig.1, (200)) of controlling a gain of a sound signal having passed through the first FIR filter (see fig.7, f1) and a gain of a sound signal having passed through the second FIR filter (see fig.7, f2); and a summing step (see fig.1, 168) of summing the sound signals having undergone gain control in the gain controlling step and outputting a sum (see col.6 line 23-col. 7 line 47); but Myers does not clearly teach a sum in which values of every other term is equal to a sum of the other every other term with opposite signs.

However, Wilkinson teaches a sum of every other term is equal to a sum of the other every other terms with the same signs (the first filter factor is composed of the ratios of -1, 0, 9,16, 9, 0, -1); and the second filter factors have a symmetrical sequence in which values are set so that a sum is zero and a sum of every other term is equal to a sum of the other every other term with opposite signs (the second filter factor is composed of the ratios of 1,0, -9,16, -9, 0, 1 and see figs 5, 7 and col.5 lines 1-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wilkinson into Myers to provide a half-band filter in respective low and high-band passing parts, placing the filters in an opposite stage and supplying the required symmetry.

Art Unit: 2644

Consider claims 4,10,13 and 11, Wilkinson teaches that the sound quality adjusting device of the sequence of the first filter factors is composed of ratios of -1, 0, 9, 16, 9, 0, and -1 and the sequence of the second filter factors is composed of ratios of 1, 0, -9, 16, -9, 0, and 1 (see fig.7 and page 5 lines 1-15) and the sound quality adjusting device at least one of the first filter and the second filter is cascaded to a subsequent stage of at least one of the first filter and the second filter (see fig.2 and page 1 lines 33-38).

Consider claim 5 Myers teaches that the sound quality adjusting device (see fig.1) of the first FIR filter and the second FIR filter (see fig.7, f1, f2 and see col.8 line 24-58); but Myers does not teach that at least one of the first FIR filter and the second FIR filter is cascaded to a subsequent stage comprising a filter duplicating at least one of the first FIR filter and the second FIR filter.

However, Wilkinson teaches that at least one of the first FIR filter (a symmetrical FIR filter such as a pair of the low-pass filter and the high-pass filter (see specification [0129])) and the second FIR filter (a symmetrical FIR filter such as a pair of the low-pass filter and the high-pass filter (see specification [0129])) is cascaded to a subsequent stage comprising a filter duplicating at least one of the first FIR filter and the second FIR filter (see detailed page 1 [0004]-page 2 [0009]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wilkinson into Myers to provide a half-band filter in respective low and high-band passing parts, placing the filters in an opposite stage and supplying the required symmetry.

Art Unit: 2644

Consider claim 6 Myers teaches that the sound quality adjusting device (see fig.1) of the first FIR filter and the second FIR filter (see fig.7, f1, f2 and col. 8 line 24-58) and the control is performed on a gain (see fig.1, 200) of an output signal from each of the cascaded FIR filters (see fig.7, f1, f2) in the subsequent stage, and sound signals having been subjected to gain control are summed and outputted (see fig. 1 and see col. 6 line 23-col. 7 line 47), but Myers does not clearly teach that the first FIR filter and the second FIR filter is cascade in parallel to a subsequent stage comprising first FIR filter, the first FIR filter and second FIR filter being cascaded in the parallel to a subsequent stage of the second FIR filter.

However, Wilkinson teaches that the first FIR filter (a symmetrical FIR filter such as a pair of the low-pass filter and the high-pass filter (see specification [0129])) and the second FIR filter (a symmetrical FIR filter such as a pair of the low-pass filter and the high-pass filter (see specification [0129])) is cascade (see fig. 2) in parallel to a subsequent stage comprising first FIR filter, the first FIR filter and second FIR filter being cascaded in the parallel to a subsequent stage of the second FIR filter (see detailed page 1 [0004]-page 2 [0009]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wilkinson into Myers to provide a half-band filter in respective low and high-band passing parts, placing the filters in an opposite stage and supplying the required symmetry.

Art Unit: 2644

3. Claims 2-3 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (US PAT. 4,817,149) as modified by Wilkinson (JP 06-326555) as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Kovtun (US PAT. 6,512,944)

Consider claims 2, 8 and 3,9, Wilkinson teaches the sound quality adjusting device of the second filter factors, signs of values other than a median of the sequence of the first filter factors are changed while causing values of the sequence to remain the same (see fig. 7 and detailed description page 4 [0022]- page5 [0020]); and the sound quality adjusting device of the second filter factors, signs of values other than a median of the sequence of the first filter factors are changed while causing values of the sequence to remain the same (see fig. 7 and detailed description page 4 [0022]- page5 [0020]); but Wilkinson does not clearly teach the causing absolute values of the sequence to remain the same; and the median of the sequence is subtracted from a reference value.

However, Kovtun teaches the causing absolute values (see scale factor formula col.5, line 1-15) of the sequence to remain the same, and the median of the sequence is subtracted (fig.2, 34) from a reference value (see figs1-6 col. 4 line 14-col. 5 line 51)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kovtun into the teaching of Wilkinson and Myers to provide an improved, low-pass filter capable of removing noise signal component from higher frequency signal.

Art Unit: 2644

4. Claims 2-3 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (US PAT. 4,817,149) as modified by Wilkinson (JP 06-326555) as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Honma (US PAT. 6,512,944).

Consider claims 2, 8 and 3,9, Wilkinson teaches the sound quality adjusting device of the second filter factors, signs of values other than a median of the sequence of the first filter factors are changed while causing values of the sequence to remain the same (see fig. 7 and detailed description page 4 [0022]- page5 [0020]); and the sound quality adjusting device of the second filter factors, signs of values other than a median of the sequence of the first filter factors are changed while causing values of the sequence to remain the same (see fig. 7 and detailed description page 4 [0022]- page5 [0020]); Wilkinson does not clearly teach the causing absolute values of the sequence to remain the same; and the median of the sequence is subtracted from a reference value.

However, Honma teaches the causing absolute values of the sequence to remain the same (by the controller 14, and see fig. 1); and the median of the sequence is subtracted from a reference value (see fig.1 and col.6 line 61-col.8 line10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Honma into the teaching of Wilkinson and Myers to provide an amplitude of a digital signal output is converged quickly and securely to a predetermined value, thereby obtaining a reception output with a multipath component eliminated therefrom.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cho (US PAT. 5,418,859) is recited to show other related the sound quality adjusting device and filter device used therefor, sound quality adjusting method, and filter designing method.

Art Unit: 2644

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ (explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street


Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao,Lun-See whose telephone number is (571) 272-7501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian, can be reached on (571) 272-7848.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Lao,Lun-See
Patent Examiner
US Patent and Trademark Office
Knox
571-272-7501
Date 07-15-2005


VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600